

Synthesis, Structure, and Chiroptical Properties of a Double [7]Heterohelicene

Xiao-Ye Wang,[†] Xin-Chang Wang,[‡] Akimitsu Narita,^{*,†} Manfred Wagner,[†] Xiao-Yu Cao,^{*,‡} Xinliang Feng,[§] and Klaus Müllen^{*,†}

[†]Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128 Mainz, Germany

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University, 361005 Xiamen, China

[§]Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden, Department of Chemistry and Food Chemistry, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The synthesis of 11a,25a-dibora-11,12,25,-26-tetraoxatetranaphtho[1,2-a:2',1'-f:1",2"-j:2"",1""-o]perylene, a double [7]heterohelicene containing OBO units, has been achieved via tandem demethylationborylation, representing the highest double helicene reported thus far with all six-membered rings. Singlecrystal X-ray analysis clearly demonstrated a significantly twisted structure with the terminal aromatic rings overlapping at both ends, giving the first example of a double helicene with intramolecular π -layers. Such structural features resulted in a high theoretical isomerization barrier of 45.1 kcal/mol, which is the highest value for all the double helicenes ever reported, rendering the achieved molecule with high chiral stability. The (P,P)and (M,M)-isomers were separated by chiral HPLC and the chiroptical properties were investigated, revealing opposite circular dichroism responses.

 \mathbf{J} elicenes, which are screw-shaped helical π -skeletons with ortho-fused aromatic rings, have attracted continuous attention due to their inherent chirality that has led to promising applications in asymmetric catalysis, molecular recognition, molecular machines, and chiroptical devices.¹ With more *ortho*annulated rings, the helical π -system starts to form additional layers, i.e., the helicene skeleton covers more than one whole rotation of a helical twist (360°). [n]Carbohelicenes, where n represents the number of ortho-fused benzene rings, exhibit a double layer when n = 7 and a triple layer when n = 13.² During the past decades, significant progress has been made in pushing the limit of higher homologues of [n] helicenes,³ and [16]carbohelicene was achieved in 2015 as a new record.⁴ Although carbohelicenes have dominated the helicene chemistry over the past century, heterohelicenes have recently emerged as a new class of attractive molecules through incorporation of heteroatoms in the helical frameworks, providing different catalytic and optoelectronic properties as well as new synthetic entries to such chiroptically active skeletons.⁵

On the other hand, helicenes with multihelicity can offer diverse conformations, increased nonplanarity, and threedimensional intermolecular interactions.⁶ In particular, intensive effort has been devoted to the synthesis of double helicenes (structures with two helicenes fused together), including both double carbohelicenes⁷ and double heterohelicenes⁸ (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Representative examples of double helicenes.

However, double helicenes thus far reported are only single layered structures. As to double helicenes with all six-membered rings, their length is limited to double [6]helicene whereas thiophene-fused double [7]helicene was reported as the longest double helicenes by incorporating five-membered rings,⁹ which nevertheless do not establish overlap between the terminal aromatic rings due to the smaller turning angle of five-membered rings. Compared to the inspiring advances in the synthesis of double- and even triple-layered monohelicenes, a double helicene with layered structures at both ends has remained elusive due to the challenge in synthesis.

Received: August 18, 2016 Published: September 22, 2016 Herein, we report the first example of a layered double helicene, a highly strained OBO-fused double [7]helicene 1 with all six-membered rings (Scheme 1). Single crystal X-ray analysis

Scheme 1. Synthetic Route to Double [7]Heterohelicene 1



elucidated a substantial overlap between the terminal benzene rings, which provided the highest reported isomerization barrier for double helicenes as revealed by DFT calculations and thus excellent chiral stability, facilitating the successful optical resolution of the chiral isomers.

Recently, Hatakeyama et al. and our group independently reported the skeleton of 9a,19a-dibora-9,10,19,20tetraoxatetrabenzo[a,f,j,o]perylene, that is, a double [5]heterohelicene G (Figure 1) through different methods.^{8f,g} In this work, we demonstrate the synthesis of the unprecedented double [7]helicene 1 via tandem reactions of demethylation and direct C–H aryl borylation (Scheme 1).^{8g,10} Hexabromobenzene 2 was treated with (2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)magnesium bromide in THF for 48 h followed by quenching with dilute hydrochloric acid to provide 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)benzene (3) in 18% yield. Then a solution of compound 3 in o-dichlorobenzene (ODCB) was heated in the presence of BBr3 at 180 °C to build up the OBO-fused double [7]helicene 1 in 7% yield after purification by column chromatography. The yield is apparently much lower than that in the case of double [5]helicene G (over 90%),^{8g} probably because of the high strain during the ring closure. The chemical structure of double [7]helicene 1 was characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR as well as high-resolution MALDI-TOF MS. A well-resolved ¹H NMR spectrum was obtained in THF- d_8 (see the Supporting Information). It is noteworthy that the signals assigned to the naphthalene β -positions on the terminal rings appeared at 6.88 and 6.57 ppm, reflecting the π -overlap at the terminal rings, which resulted in a high shielding effect.

The single crystal of compound 1 was grown by slow evaporation of its solution in CH₂Cl₂/hexane and its double [7]helicene structure unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography. As depicted in Figure 2, two [7]heterohelicene substructures are fused together, sharing a common benzene ring. Seven ortho-annulated six-membered rings clearly cover more than one circle of a helical turn, with the two terminal benzene rings overlapping onto each other. The pitch distance, which is defined as the average distance between the outer rim atoms from different layers, is 4.63 Å, close to the value of [7] carbohelicene (4.64 Å).¹¹ The layered structure makes the molecule more twisted than the OBO-fused double [5]helicene G. In addition, the central benzene ring is highly distorted, with the dihedral angles of 27.8° for C1-C2-C3-C4, 28.7° for C1-C6-C5-C4, and 26.5° for C2-C3-C5-C6 (23.5°, 24.6°, and 22.3°, respectively, for those of compound G).^{8g} The bond lengths of the central benzene ring (e.g., C1-C2, 1.411 Å; C2-C3, 1.427 Å; C3-C4, 1.409 Å) are also longer than those of planar benzene (about 1.39 Å), indicating the π -distortion. Nucleus-independent chemical shift (NICS) calculations revealed the low aromaticity of the central benzene with a NICS (0) value of -4.9 ppm (Figure S3), much lower than that



Figure 2. Single crystal structure of OBO-fused double [7]helicene 1. (a) Top view and (b) side view of (P,P)-1. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. (c) Crystal packing structure of 1 viewed along the *b* axis.

of benzene (-7.6 ppm, calculated at the same level of theory) as a result of the strong distortion. In one unit cell, two enantiomers, (P,P)-1 and (M,M)-1 are present in a ratio of 1:1. As illustrated in Figure 2c, homochiral molecules are packed along the *a* axis, whereas along the *c* axis, heterochiral molecules are packed alternately.

The absorption spectrum of 1 recorded in CH₂Cl₂ solutions (Figure 3) exhibited a maximum at 376 nm (absorption coefficient: $6.2 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) which is significantly redshifted compared with that of double [5] helicene G (310 nm).^{8g} The absorption onset of 1 is 479 nm, corresponding to an optical energy gap of 2.59 eV, which is lower than that of double [5] helicene G (2.88 eV) due to the extended π -conjugation. According to TD-DFT calculations, the low-energy absorption band from 400 to 500 nm is assignable to the HOMO→LUMO transition. The band at 376 nm is attributed to almost equal contributions of HOMO−1→LUMO and HOMO→LUMO+1 transitions. These orbitals are delocalized over the entire double helicene skeleton, and boron atoms play an important role in HOMO-1 and LUMO+1. Compound 1 displayed a greenyellow fluorescence with the emission maximum at 487 nm and a quantum yield of 26%.

The isomerization process of double [7]helicene 1 was further studied by DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. As illustrated in Figure 4, the twisted (P,P)- or (M,M)conformation is thermodynamically more stable than the (P,M)-conformation by 4.4 kcal/mol, which is consistent with the fact that only (P,P)- and (M,M)-isomers were experimentally observed. The isomerization from the chiral (P,P)- or (M,M)isomer to the achiral (P,M)-isomer proceeds through the transition state (TS) where the terminal benzene rings are oriented in a face-to-face manner. The energy barrier for the isomerization process is calculated to be 45.1 kcal/mol, which is the highest among the values for all reported double helicenes.^{7a,b} Notably, the isomerization barrier of the OBO-fused double [7] helicene is higher than that of [7] carbohelicene (42.0 kcal/ mol), indicating the advantage of double helicenes over monohelicenes in terms of conformational stability.



Figure 3. (a) Normalized absorption and emission spectra of compound 1 in CH_2Cl_2 solutions. (b) Molecular orbitals and their energy diagrams calculated by TD-DFT at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. H, HOMO; L, LUMO.



Figure 4. Isomerization process of (a) double helicene 1 from (P_P) isomer to (P_PM) -isomer and (b) [7] carbohelicene from *P*-isomer to *M*isomer. The relative Gibbs free energy (kcal/mol) was calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.

The high isomerization barrier enabled the optical resolution of double [7]helicene **1** by using chiral HPLC on a Daicel Chiralcel IE column (eluent: EtOAc/MeOH = 9:1). Two peaks were observed in the chiral HPLC analysis (see the Supporting Information), corresponding to the two enantiomers of 1. After the separation by preparative chiral HPLC, the circular dichroism (CD) spectra of the two isolated fractions displayed perfect mirror symmetry, indicating that they are enantiomers with opposite helicity (Figure 5). The simulated CD spectra of (*P*,*P*)-



Figure 5. (a) CD spectra of the two enantiomers of 1 separated by chiral HPLC in CH₂Cl₂. (b) Simulated CD spectra of (P,P)-1 and (M,M)-1 based on TD-DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level.

1 and (M,M)-**1** were in good agreement with the experimental results, and revealed that the first peak corresponded to (M,M)-isomer and the second peak to (P,P)-isomer. To examine the chiral stability of double [7]helicene **1**, enantiomer (P,P)-**1** and (M,M)-**1** were respectively heated up to 200 °C and monitored by chiral HPLC. No racemization was observed for 24 h, highlighting good conformational stability due to the high isomerization barrier.

In summary, the first double [7]helicene with all *ortho*-fused six-membered rings has been achieved, inspite of the high strain built up in the synthesis. As evidenced by NMR analysis and X-ray crystallography, the terminal aromatic rings of the OBO-fused double [7]helicene exhibited significant overlaps at both ends, representing the first example of a layered double helicene. This feature endowed the molecule with the highest isomerization energy barrier (45.1 kcal/mol) among all reported double helicenes. The enantiomers were separated by chiral HPLC, exhibiting mirror-image CD spectra, which are consistent with DFT modeling. This work has demonstrated the efficacy of the direct aryl C–H borylation method in the synthesis of highly

strained molecules, and will stimulate more efforts on pushing the limit toward higher double helicenes and further exploring their functional properties.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b08664.

Experimental details and data (PDF) Crystallographic data for 1 (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*A.N. narita@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

*X.-Y.C. xcao@xmu.edu.cn

*K.M. muellen@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely thank Dr. Dieter Schollmeyer (Institute for Organic Chemistry, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz) for single-crystal X-ray structural analysis. Xiao-Ye Wang is grateful for the fellowship from Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. We acknowledge the financial support from European Commission through the FET-Proactive Project "MoQuaS", contract No. 610449 and Graphene Flagship (No. CNECT-ICT-604391).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Shen, Y.; Chen, C.-F. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 1463. (b) Gingras, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 968. (c) Gingras, M.; Felix, G.; Peresutti, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 1007. (d) Gingras, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 1051.

(2) (a) Schulman, J. M.; Disch, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 6669.
(b) Tian, Y.-H.; Park, G.; Kertesz, M. Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 3266.

(3) (a) Hoffmann, N. J. Photochem. Photobiol., C 2014, 19, 1.
(b) Martin, R. H.; Morren, G.; Schurter, J. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 10, 3683. (c) Martin, R. H.; Baes, M. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 2135.

(4) Mori, K.; Murase, T.; Fujita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6847.

(5) (a) Rajca, A.; Wang, H.; Pink, M.; Rajca, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4481. (b) Miyasaka, M.; Rajca, A.; Pink, M.; Rajca, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13806. (c) Nakano, K.; Hidehira, Y.; Takahashi, K.; Hiyama, T.; Nozaki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7136. (d) Tanaka, K.; Fukawa, N.; Suda, T.; Noguchi, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5470. (e) Pieters, G.; Gaucher, A.; Marque, S.; Maurel, F.; Lesot, P.; Prim, D. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2096. (f) Hatakeyama, T.; Hashimoto, S.; Oba, T.; Nakamura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19600. (g) Kelgtermans, H.; Dobrzańska, L.; Meervelt, L. V.; Dehaen, W. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1500. (h) Nakano, K.; Oyama, H.; Nishimura, Y.; Nakasako, S.; Nozaki, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 695. (i) Žádný, J.; Jančařík, A.; Andronova, A.; Šámal, M.; Vacek Chocholoušová, J.; Vacek, J.; Pohl, R.; Šaman, D.; Císařová, I.; Stará, I. G.; Starý, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5857. (j) Gicquel, M.; Zhang, Y.; Aillard, P.; Retailleau, P.; Voituriez, A.; Marinetti, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5470. (k) Shyam Sundar, M.; Bedekar, A. V. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5808. (1) Schickedanz, K.; Trageser, T.; Bolte, M.; Lerner, H.-W.; Wagner, M. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 15808. (m) Miyamoto, F.; Nakatsuka, S.; Yamada, K.; Nakayama, K.-i.; Hatakeyama, T. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 6158.

(6) (a) Fujikawa, T.; Segawa, Y.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3587. (b) Zhong, Y.; Trinh, M. T.; Chen, R.; Purdum, G. E.; Khlyabich, P. P.; Sezen, M.; Oh, S.; Zhu, H.; Fowler, B.; Zhang, B.; Wang, W.; Nam, C.-Y.; Sfeir, M. Y.; Black, C. T.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Loo, Y.-L.; Ng, F.;

Zhu, X. Y.; Nuckolls, C. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 8242. (c) Ball, M.; Zhong, Y.; Wu, Y.; Schenck, C.; Ng, F.; Steigerwald, M.; Xiao, S.; Nuckolls, C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 267. (d) Xiao, S.; Kang, S. J.; Wu, Y.; Ahn, S.; Kim, J. B.; Loo, Y.-L.; Siegrist, T.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Li, H.; Nuckolls, C. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2018. (e) Meng, L.; Fujikawa, T.; Kuwayama, M.; Segawa, Y.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10351. (f) Meng, D.; Fu, H.; Xiao, C.; Meng, X.; Winands, T.; Ma, W.; Wei, W.; Fan, B.; Huo, L.; Doltsinis, N. L.; Li, Y.; Sun, Y.; Wang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10184.

(7) (a) Fujikawa, T.; Segawa, Y.; Itami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7763. (b) Kashihara, H.; Asada, T.; Kamikawa, K. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 6523. (c) Luo, J.; Xu, X.; Mao, R.; Miao, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13796. (d) Lütke Eversloh, C.; Liu, Z.; Müller, B.; Stangl, M.; Li, C.; Müllen, K. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5528. (e) Peña, D.; Cobas, A.; Pérez, D.; Guitián, E.; Castedo, L. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1863.

(8) (a) Shiraishi, K.; Rajca, A.; Pink, M.; Rajca, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9312. (b) Hashimoto, S.; Nakatsuka, S.; Nakamura, M.; Hatakeyama, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 14074. (c) Nakamura, K.; Furumi, S.; Takeuchi, M.; Shibuya, T.; Tanaka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5555. (d) Sakamaki, D.; Kumano, D.; Yashima, E.; Seki, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5404. (e) Sakamaki, D.; Kumano, D.; Yashima, E.; Seki, S. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 17237. (f) Katayama, T.; Nakatsuka, S.; Hirai, H.; Yasuda, N.; Kumar, J.; Kawai, T.; Hatakeyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5210. (g) Wang, X.-Y.; Narita, A.; Zhang, W.; Feng, X.; Müllen, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9021.

(9) (a) Liu, X.; Yu, P.; Xu, L.; Yang, J.; Shi, J.; Wang, Z.; Cheng, Y.; Wang, H. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 6316. (b) Wang, Z.; Shi, J.; Wang, J.; Li, C.; Tian, X.; Cheng, Y.; Wang, H. Org. Lett. **2010**, 12, 456.

(10) Numano, M.; Nagami, N.; Nakatsuka, S.; Katayama, T.; Nakajima, K.; Tatsumi, S.; Yasuda, N.; Hatakeyama, T. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 11574.

(11) Rulíšek, L.; Exner, O.; Cwiklik, L.; Jungwirth, P.; Starý, I.; Pospíšil, L.; Havlas, Z. J. Phys. Chem. C **2007**, *111*, 14948.